## **Record of operational decision**

Decision title:	Levelling Up Fund – 20MPH Scheme				
Date of decision:	18.07.25				
Decision maker:	Corporate Director Economy & Environment				
Authority for delegated decision:	The Cabinet decision dated 12 January 2023 To accept and Spend any Approved Levelling Up Funding (LUF) Allocated to Herefordshire authorises the following:- c) To accept and approve expenditure related any Levelling Up Funding offered by				
	government for a package of transport and active travel measures in and arou Hereford city;				
	d) To delegate to the consultation with the Cabinet Member for operational decisions government.	Cabinet Member for Infrastructure and Hi	r Environment and E ghways, and the Se	Economy, the ction 151 Officer, all	
	https://councillors.he	erefordshire.gov.uk/i	e Decision Details. as p	ox?ID=9243	
Ward:	Aylestone Hill				
Consultation:	Consultation for this decision has taken place with the Council's Finance, Commercial and Procurement teams, Cabinet Member Economy and Environment and s151 Officer.				
Decision made:	To award a contract to Tarmac Trading Ltd for the resurfacing element of this scheme using the Council's NEC 4 Framework Agreement, up to the value of £316,800 including a contingency of 10%.				
	To further delegate all operational and budgetary decisions up to the maximum value of £316,800 for this scheme to the Interim Delivery Director Infrastructu Capital Programme Manager and Capital Project Manager.				
Reasons for decision:	The Cabinet decision of 12 January 2023 accepted the Levelling Up Fund (LUF)grant from central government which was allocated to a number of projects including '20mph'. The devised scheme aims to increase the safety of pedestrians and cyclists, improve road surface and longevity of the highways asset.				
	This 20mph scheme will be in the area of Folly Lane and Whittern Way.  The resurfacing element of this scheme is awarded to Tarmac through the				
	The resurfacing element of this scheme is awarded to Tarmac through the Herefordshire Council Minor Works Framework				
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/ equality considerations:	Financial Implications The total budget allocation for this element of the Levelling Up Fund is £770K, split equally between North and South, and the costs will fall within this amount.				
	Budget Spend to Date Committed Remaining				
	£770K	£43,904	£7,347	£718,749	
			1 7	,	

	Under the selection process for the framework, Officers have used the quotation information provided which shows that the total of the prices of Tarmac Trading Ltd is likely to be significantly lower than the other Suppliers on the Framework based upon the quotation information submitted within the framework tender and therefore this Supplier provides the council with value for money.
	Expenditure of LUF funds has been in accordance with the HC policies and Memorandum of Understanding.
	Risk Management This project has an up-to-date risk register, which is monitored at the monthly project board meetings. The creation of a framework has contributed to minimising risks associated with procurement delay, supply chain resilience and demonstrating competition and value for money for the public purse. The identified works should reduce the risk to users on the network as a result of improved condition.
	Legal Implications  A procurement process to create a Highways and Public Realm Schemes Framework was conducted during January and February 2024 in accordance with the Council's Contracts Procedure Rules.  The framework is operated under the NEC4 Framework Contract arrangements with NEC4 Engineering and Construction Short Contracts used for delivery of individual schemes.
	Equality considerations  The public sector equality duty (specific duty) requires us to consider how we can positively contribute to the advancement of equality and good relations and demonstrate that we are paying 'due regard' in our decision making in the design of policies and in the delivery of services.
Details of any alternative options considered and rejected:	Not to award. This option is not recommended. The preferred supplier has been identified following all agreed procurement principles. Not to appoint would hinder the Council's ability to deliver the LUF programme and therefore risks clawback of funding provided.
Details of any declarations	None

Signed	Date